

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2004

Velvetleaf Responses to 2-Year and 4-Year Crop Rotation Systems

Matthew Z. Liebman

Iowa State University, mliebman@iastate.edu

Robert G. Hartzler

Iowa State University, hartzler@iastate.edu

Paula R. Westerman

University of Rostock

Fabián D. Menalled

Iowa State University

Andrew Howard Heggenstaller

Iowa State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), and the [Statistics and Probability Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Liebman, Matthew Z.; Hartzler, Robert G.; Westerman, Paula R.; Menalled, Fabián D.; Heggenstaller, Andrew Howard; and Dixon, Philip M., "Velvetleaf Responses to 2-Year and 4-Year Crop Rotation Systems" (2004). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1348.
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1348

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Velvetleaf Responses to 2-Year and 4-Year Crop Rotation Systems

Abstract

In 1938, C.E. Leighty described crop rotation as “the most effective means yet devised for keeping land free of weeds.” Despite the accumulation of a considerable amount of evidence to support this assertion, little has been learned over the past six decades about why, mechanistically, cropping system diversity suppresses weeds. The field experiment and modeling work described here were initiated to address this issue and focused particularly attention on the question of whether diverse rotations can achieve effective weed control through greater reliance on ecological interactions, such as seed predation, rather than herbicides.

Keywords

Agronomy, Statistics

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences | Statistics and Probability

Authors

Matthew Z. Liebman, Robert G. Hartzler, Paula R. Westerman, Fabián D. Menalled, Andrew Howard Heggenstaller, and Philip M. Dixon

Velvetleaf Responses to 2-Year and 4-Year Crop Rotation Systems

Matt Liebman, professor

Robert G. Hartzler, professor

Paula R. Westerman, postdoctoral scientist

Fabián D. Menalled, associate scientist

Andrew H. Heggenstaller, graduate student

Department of Agronomy

Philip N. Dixon, professor

Department of Statistics

Introduction

In 1938, C.E. Leighty described crop rotation as “the most effective means yet devised for keeping land free of weeds.” Despite the accumulation of a considerable amount of evidence to support this assertion, little has been learned over the past six decades about why, mechanistically, cropping system diversity suppresses weeds. The field experiment and modeling work described here were initiated to address this issue and focused particularly attention on the question of whether diverse rotations can achieve effective weed control through greater reliance on ecological interactions, such as seed predation, rather than herbicides.

Materials and Methods

A 9-hectare field experiment and matrix models are being used to examine how 2-year (corn-soybean) and 4-year (corn-soybean-triticale/alfalfa-alfalfa) crop rotations affect velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*). In 2003, herbicides were broadcast-applied at labeled rates to corn and soybean in the 2-year rotation. In contrast, banded herbicide applications and cultivation were used for corn and soybean in the 4-year rotation; triticale and alfalfa received no herbicides and were mowed for weed control. Consequently, the 4-year rotation received 82% less herbicide than the 2-year rotation (2.39 vs. 0.42 kg a.i. ha⁻¹).

In November 2002, the soil seed bank was characterized and a pulse of velvetleaf seeds was added (500 seeds m⁻²) to 7 × 7-m areas of each 18 × 85-m plot. During the 2003 field season, velvetleaf seed, seedling, and reproductive adult densities; seed production; and losses to seed predators were monitored. Only seed production and seed predation are reported here. Velvetleaf seed production was determined by collecting seeds as they matured, counting them, and replacing them on soil near where they were collected. Removal of velvetleaf seeds by vertebrate and invertebrate seed predators was assessed by placing sandpaper cards that contained 50 velvetleaf seeds at several locations within each plot during 12, 2-day periods from May through November. The number of seeds remaining on each card was determined at the end of each 2-day trial.

Velvetleaf population dynamics were studied using demographic data from the field experiment to parameterize a depth-structured periodic matrix model.

Results and Discussion

In 2003, weed seed production ($X \pm SE$, seeds m⁻²) was greatest in the soybean phase of the 4-year rotation ($1,804 \pm 860$) and markedly lower in the soybean phase of the 2-year rotation (32 ± 26), as well as in the corn phase of the 2-year rotation (0 ± 0), and the corn, triticale, and alfalfa phases of the 4-year rotation (21 ± 18 , 11 ± 4 , and 0 ± 0 , respectively).

Over the period from May through November, the mean rate of seed predation ($X \pm SE$, % per 2 days) was greatest in alfalfa of the 4-year rotation (43 ± 5), least in soybean of the 2-year rotation (13 ± 3), and intermediate in the 2-year corn (19 ± 3), 4-year soybean (21 ± 3), 4-year

triticale (32 ± 4), and 4-year corn (35 ± 4). Field crickets, carabid beetles, and prairie deer mice were the dominant seed predators recovered in traps.

Modeling analyses indicated that in the absence of seed predation, velvetleaf populations should decline in the 2-year rotation ($\lambda=0.93$), but increase moderately in the 4-year rotation ($\lambda=1.17$) (the population is in equilibrium when $\lambda=1$). However, if seed predation in all crop phases exceeded 24%, or if predation of velvetleaf seeds produced in the soybean phase exceeded 40%, velvetleaf density in the 4-year rotation should also decline. Achieving such a level of seed predation appears possible, given the observed daily rates of seed removal and the fact that no tillage occurs in the 4-year rotation for 26 months after soybean harvest, thus favoring seed exposure on the soil surface to predators.

Models that included estimates of seed predation indicated that to prevent increases in velvetleaf density, weed control efficacy in soybean must be $\geq 93\%$ in the 2-year rotation, but could drop to 86% in the 4-year rotation. These results support the hypothesis that diverse rotations that exploit multiple stress and mortality factors, including weed seed predation, can contribute to effective weed suppression with less reliance on herbicides.

Acknowledgments

We thank David Sundberg for expert technical assistance in the field and lab. Funding was provided by the USDA National Research Initiative, the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture, and the ISU Agronomy Endowment.